UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/587,080 | 07/21/2006 | Hiroki Mouri | 28951.1181 | 9532 |
| 53067 STEPTOE & JO | 7590 11/20/200 DHNSON LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 1330 CONNEC | TICUT AVE., NW | FISCHER, MARK L | | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20036 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2627 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/20/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/587,080 | MOURI ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Mark Fischer | 2627 | | |

| | Mark Fischer | 2627 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of <i>i</i> eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavial (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sleet forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount on the nortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | sideration and/or search (see NOTv); er form for appeal by materially rec | E below); ducing or simplifying th | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the claim(s). | See attached Notice of Non-Con | mpliant Amendment (l | · |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-4,9-12 and 20-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | sufficient reasons why the affidavi | t or other evidence is | necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to obshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{eq:disclosure}). | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /HOA T NGUYEN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627 | /Mark Fischer/ Examiner, Art Unit 2627 | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues (Page 5) that neither AAPA Figure 5, nor the accompanying disclosure shows or describes an adaptive transversal filter performing both claimed functions (i.e. a transversal filter for amplifying a signal in a specific band as well as performing waveform equalization for a reproduction signal). However, it is already well-known in the art that the purpose of a filter is to take a signal and amplify the signal (positive or negative amplification) with different amplification gains at different frequencies and it is also well-known in the art that amplifying a signal with different amplification gains at different frequencies of the signal can be considered to be waveform equalization.

Applicant argues (Page 5) that AAPA, Figure 5 does not show direct connection between the A/D converter and the variable gain amplifier, as claimed. However, Figure 3 of Applicant's disclosure shows an A/D converter (4) that is NOT directly connected to a variable gain amplifier (2) which raises the issue that the newly amended limitation which includes the phrase "directly connected to" may be new matter. Further, in view of Figure 3 of Applicant's disclosure, even if for the purposes of argument, element 4 is considered to be "directly connected to" element 2, then the definition of "directly connected to" can be taken to be that two elements (2 and 4) are connected to each other with elements in between. This is because Figure 3 illustrates that an adding element is present in the connection between elements 2 and 4. AAPA, Figure 5, discloses an A/D converter (104) connected to a variable gain amplifier (102) with elements in between. Thus it can be interpreted that elements 104 is directly connected to element 102.